

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,363	10/14/2003		Joseph Tak Ming Kwok	A-76718/DNM	6709
34299	7590	12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFIC	CES OF		NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P		
DONALD N. MACINTOSH 180 MONTGOMERY, STE. 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104				2871	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	ASI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,363	KWOK, JOSEPH TAK MING	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment dated 9/14/2005.

Claims 1-6 are pending for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arikawa et al U.S. Patent No. 6,147,934.

Regarding claim 1, Arikawa et al discloses in figure 1, in a liquid crystal display apparatus having a liquid crystal assembly including liquid crystal material (L) sandwiched between a pair of transparent plates (13a, 13b) which carry patterned electrodes (not shown) which provide the desired liquid crystal display, the display apparatus further including front (11) and rear polarizing layers (12) having transmission axes aligned or rotated with respect to each other and further including a reflector (18a) for reflecting ambient incident light on said front layer back through said rear polarizing layer and said liquid crystal assembly and said front polarizing layer to a viewer the improvement comprising; a layer (not shown) including fluorescent material [see col. 5, lines 10-16] between said rear polarizing layer and said reflector (18a) responsive to said ambient incident light to emit a specific wavelength to provide a specific color for said display.

Regarding claim 4, limitation "fluorescent layer is printed on said reflector" makes the claim become product-by-process claim, [see MPEP 2113], and at the moment, it is not given any weight to its process; it is examined as a product itself as "fluorescent layer is on reflector", and therefore it is met the rejection as in claim 1.

Regarding claim 6, Arikawa et al discloses in figure 1, where said rear polarizing layer (12) is a reflective polarizer film.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arikawa et al in view of Flynn U.S. Patent No. 5,815,228.

Regarding claim 2, even though Arikawa et al lacks disclosure of fluorescent layer also includes phosphorescent material, it was well known in the art to use phosphorescent material for emitting light at a predetermined intensity and frequency for a predetermined period after the light source is off, as evidenced by Flynn, [see col. 5, lines 24-27]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have fluorescent layer also includes phosphorescent material for emitting light at a predetermined intensity and frequency for a predetermined period after the light source is off.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arikawa et al in view of Noble U.S. Patent No. 4,521,775.

Regarding claim 3, Arikawa et al lacks disclosure of in a display apparatus as in claim 1 including a multiplexing driver for driving said patterned electrodes with a duty cycle of at least 1/2.

Noble discloses the multiplexing driver for driving patterned electrodes with a duty cycle of at least ½ for the benefit of maintaining reliability while obtaining satisfactory contrast ratios, [see col. 1, lines 37-42]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use multiplexing driver for driving patterned electrodes with a duty cycle of at least ½ for the benefit of maintaining reliability while obtaining satisfactory contrast ratios.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arikawa et al in view of Ouderkirk et al U.S. Patent No. 6,124,971.

Regarding claim 5, Arikawa et al lacks disclosure of the reflector composed of translucent material.

It was well known that the reflector composed of translucent material would function as a transflective optical layer (or transflector) to utilize the ambient light (in reflective mode) or backlight (in transmissive mode) for the benefit of increasing efficiency and brightness in liquid crystal display device, as evidenced by Ouderkirk, [see abstract]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the reflector composed of

Application/Control Number: 10/686,363

Art Unit: 2871

translucent material for the benefit of increasing efficiency and brightness under both

ambient and supplemental lighting conditions in visual display applications.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/14/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s)

Page 5

1 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the

rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of

rejection is made in view of Arikawa et al U.S. Patent No. 6,147,934.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone

number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F/9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 2871

Application/Control Number: 10/686,363

Art Unit: 2871

-- December 7, 2005 --

Tal

ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6